



Is active travel a stable physical activity behavior? Evidence from the German Mobility Panel

Ralph Buehler, Tobias Kuhnimhof, Adrian Bauman, <u>Christine Eisenmann</u> MPN Symposium, April 10th, 2018

INSTITUTE FOR TRANSPORT STUDIES, KARLSRUHE INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY (KIT)





KIT - The Research University in the Helmholtz Association

Background Active travel and physical activity

- Governments at national, state, and city levels promote walking and cycling – active travel – as sustainable and healthy means of daily transport
- Walking and cycling for daily trips can serve as consistent source of physical activity, improve cardiovascular health, and help prevent obesity and diabetes
- Active travel can help achieve the World Health Organization's (WHO) recommendations on physical activity per week for adults



WHO Recommendations

65 years and above

adults who are physically active have

hese guidelines are relevant to all healthy adults aged 65 years and

specific medical conditions indicate to the contrary, irrespective of gender, race, ethnicity or income level. They are also relevant to individuals in this age range with chronic NCD conditions or with disabilities. Individuals with specific health

conditions, such as cardiovascular diseake and diabetes, may need to take extra precautions and seek medical advice before trying to achieve the recommended levels of physical activity for older adults.

Strong evidence demonstrates that compared to less active men and women, older

breast cancer, a higher level of cardiorespiratory and muscular fitness.

healthier body mass and composition and enhanced bone health; and
 bieher levels of functional health, a lower risk of falling, and better cognitive function

Ider adults of the 65 years and above age group, pl are time physical activity, transportation (e.g. walking the individual is still engaged in work), household cha

ver rates of coronary heart disease, hypertension, stroke, diabetes, colon and

Global Recommendations on Physical Activity for Health

18-64 years old These guidelines are relevant to all healthy of Specific medical conditions indicate to the cord Physical Activity for Health

specific medical conditions indicate to the com ethnicity or income level. They also apply to in chronic noncommunicable conditions not raitate or diabetes. These recommendations can be as However they may need to be adjusted for each capacity and specific health needs. Pregnant, poscardiac events may need to take extra precaution striving to achieve the recommended levels of al

Strong evidence demonstrates that compared t individuals who are more active:

 have lower rates of all-cause mortality, coronpressure, stroke, type 2 diabetes, metabolic st and depression;

are likely to have less risk of a hip or vertebr.

exhibit a higher level of cardiorespiratory and

 are more likely to achieve weight maintenance composition.

Recommendations: In adults aged 18-64, physical activity includ transportation (e.g. walking or cycling), occc chores, play, games, sports or planned exercis and community activities. The recommendations in order to improve

fitness, bone health, reduce the risk of NCDs at 1. Adults aged 18–64 should do at least 150 aerobic physical activity throughout the we

equivalent combination of moderate - and vig 2. Aerobic activity should be performed in bout

3. For additional health benefits, adults sh intensity aerobic physical activity to 300 m 150 minutes of vigorous-intensity aerobic pl equivalent combination of moderate - and vig

ple should start with small am

 Muscle-strengthening activities sh groups on 2 or more days a week.

increase duration, frequency and intensity ov

disease limitations will have added health be For further information see: http://www.who.int/dietph or contact WHO on dietandhealth@who.int Older adults, with poor mobility, should person physical activity to enhance advance of the physical activity to enhance advance advance

 Muscle-strengthening activities, involving major muscle groups, should be done on 2 or more days a week.

6. When older adults cannot do the recommended amounts of physical activit due to health conditions, they should be as physically active as their abilitie and conditions allow.

Inactive people should start with small amounts of physical activity and gradually increase duration, frequency and intensity over time. Inactive adults and those with disease limitations will have added health benefits when they become more active.

> World Health Organization

© World Health Organization 2011

For further information see: http://www.who.int/di

Background WHO Recommendations



Recommendations:

In adults aged 18-64, physical activity includes leisure time physical activity, transportation (e.g. walking or cycling) occupational (i.e. work), household chores, play, games, sports or planned exercise, in the context of daily, family, and community activities.

The recommendations in order to improve cardiorespiratory and muscular fitness, bone health, reduce the risk of NCDs and depression are:

1. Adults aged 18-64 should do at least 150 minutes of moderate-intensity aerobic physical activity throughout the week or do at least 75 minutes of vigorous-intensity aerobic physical activity throughout the week or an equivalent combination of moderate - and vigorous-intensity activity.

activity (PA), improve cardiovascular health, and help preve diabetes.

AT can help achieve tł Organization's (WHO) 150+ minutes of mode aerobic PA per week f

Recommendations:

In older adults of the 65 years and above age group physical activity includes leisure time physical activity, transportation (e.g. walking or cycling), occupational (if the individual is still engaged in work), household chores, play, games, sports or planned exercise, in the context of daily, family, and community activities. The recommendations in order to improve cardiorespiratory and muscular fitness, bone and functional health, reduce the risk of NCDs, depression and cognitive decline are:

1. Older adults should do at least 150 minutes of moderate-intensity aerobic physical activity throughout the week or do at least 75 minutes of vigorousintensity aerobic physical activity throughout the week or an equivalent combination of moderate- and vigorous-intensity activity.

HO Recommendations

hysical Activity for Health

Physical Activity for Health

Background Research objective



- Which share of adult population in Germany achieves 150+ minutes active travel per week? (Q1)
 - \rightarrow One week survey data
- What are the determinants of achieving 150+ minutes active travel in the survey week? (Q2)
 - \rightarrow Demographic and socio-economic information of survey participants
- Which share of adult population achieves 150+ minutes active travel on a regular basis (stability)? (Q3)

→ Panel data

What are the determinants of achieving stability in 150+ minutes in active travel? (Q4)

 \rightarrow Demographic and socio-economic information of survey participants

Data The German Mobility Panel (MOP)



- Annual survey on travel demand in German households since 1994
- Each year: 2,000-3,000 individuals aged 10 years and older
- Trip diary for a whole week (multiday) in autumn
- Survey modes: paper, web (since 2013)
- Households report for three consecutive years (rotating panel)
- Conservice 12 round trip
 Buturday
 Buturday
 Buturday</p

2013

2014

Funded by the German Federal Ministry of Transport and Digital Infrastructure (Kantar TNS: field work; KIT: design & scientific supervision)

2016

2015

Data Data preparation



- Travel behavior of MOP participants in their first and second year of MOP participation $vear 1/\sqrt{\frac{T_{rip}}{diary}}$ $vear 2/\sqrt{\frac{T_{rip}}{diary}}$
- Trip diaries of individuals (n= 7,758) with first year MOP part. 1999-2013
 - MOP surveys 99 00 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11 12 13 14 year 1 year 2
- Calculation of weekly active travel time from....



... in year 1 and year 2 of MOP participation.

Active travel in one year Share of adults with 150+ min active travel



Q1: Which share of adult population in Germany achieves 150+ minutes active travel per week?



Active travel in one year Determinants of active travel



Q2: What are the determinants of achieving 150+ minutes active travel in the survey week?

- Binary logistic regression
- greater likelihood for achieving 150+ minutes of active travel for individuals
 - aged between 30 and 69
 - not in the workforce
 - in households without cars
 - in jurisdictions with larger population sizes
 - in households with access to shopping / leisure destinations
 - with public transport pass

Model 1: 150+ min in Year 1							
Parameter	Parameter Bin	Odds Ratio					
Condor	Female	1.00					
Gender	Male	0.87**					
	18-29	0.59***					
Age Group	30-69	1.00					
	70+	0.86**					
	0	1.00					
Cars in Household	1	0.30***					
	2+	0.16***					
	<5k	1.00					
Population Size of	5k<50k	1.34***					
Jurisdiction	50k<500k	1.46***					
	500k+	1.55***					
	No employed	1.00					
Employment Status	Employed	0.59***					
	<high school<="" td=""><td>1.00</td></high>	1.00					
Education Status	>High School	1.42					
	In Education	1.36***					
Monthly Public Transport	No	1.00					
Pass	Yes	1.49***					
Shopping Destination Within	No	1.00					
2km	Yes	1.42***					
Leisure Destination Within	No	1.00					
2km	Yes	1.45***					
Rained 5 Out of 7 Reporting	No	1.00					
Days	Yes	0.88**					
Intercept		1.55***					
Levels of significance: *** <1%	, ** <5%, *<10%;						
Mc Fadden R-Squarred: 0.11	. , ,						

Stability of active travel Share of adults with 150+ min active travel



Q3: Which share of adult population achieves 150+ minutes active travel on a regular basis (stability)?



Stability of active travel Detecting determinants for stability



Q4: What are the determinants of achieving stability in 150+ minutes in active travel?

Run binary logistic regression models to compare active travel groups



WITHOUT dummy variables on the source of active travel in year 1
 WITH dummy variables on the source of active travel in year 1

Stability of active travel Binary regression results I



- Individuals with greater likelihood for maintaining 150+ minutes of active travel in year 2 are
 - aged between 30 and 69
 - not in the workforce
 - in households without cars
 - in households with access to shopping destinations within 2 km of the home
- Individuals were more likely high maintainers, if in year 1 they
 - used active modes for work or school commuting
 - used active travel for round trips (i.e. going for a walk or bike ride)
 - used all three non-automobile modes (walking, cycling, and public transport)





Karlsruhe Institute of Technology (KIT)

Stability of active travel Binary regression results II



- Stronger differences between high maintainers and low maintainers than between high maintainers and relapsers / adopters
 - Policy interventions may target primary adopters and relapsers
- Differences between high maintainers and relapsers / adopters
 - employment status
 - age group
 - car ownership



- access to shopping destinations within 2 km of the home
- Correlates, which do not differ significantly:
 - gender
 - population size of home jurisdiction
 - access to leisure destinations within 2 km of the home
- High maintainers are most likely older than 29 years \rightarrow life cycle events

Conclusions



- The German Mobility Panel is a reliable source for physical activity and active travel studies
- Active travel alone contributes to almost half of German adults meeting the WHO recommended levels of physical activity in one year
- About one third of German adults achieve health-enhancing levels of physical activity on a regular basis
- Limitations:
 - Travel times were self-reported / derived (public transport)
 - MOP only identified stability of weekly active travel in the fall of two consecutive years
 - It is not possible to determine if stability of active travel between years is different from stability of active travel in consecutive weeks of the same year
 - Our study cannot establish causality, but can only show correlation



Thank you! Questions?

INSTITUT FOR TRANSPORT STUDIES



KIT – Universität des Landes Baden-Württemberg und nationales Forschungszentrum in der Helmholtz-Gemeinschaft

www.ifv.kit.edu

Stability of active travel Binary regression results



		Model 2	Model 3	Model 4	Model 5	Model 6	Model 7
		High Maintainers v	s High Maintainers	vs High Maintainers ve	s High Maintainers	vs High Maintainers	vs High Maintainers vs
		Relapsers	Adopters	Low Maintainers	Relapsers	Adopters	Low Maintainers
Parameter	Parameter Bin	Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio
Intercept		6.59***	5.80***	1.88***	5.18***	2.41***	0.67***
Sex Fer Ma	Female	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
	Male	0.9	0.89	0.88**	0.97	0.88	0.89
	18-29	0.69**	0.58**	0.50*	0.63***	0.51***	0.40***
Age Group	30-69	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
	70+	0.91	0.95	0.79**	0.92	1.00	0.87
Cars in Household	0	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
	1	0.40***	0.34***	0.21***	0.40***	0.35***	0.21***
	2+	0.24 ***	0.21***	0.09***	0.24***	0.22***	0.09***
	<5k	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Population Size of	5k<50k	0.97	1.25*	1.36***	0.96	1.18	1.32
Jurisdiction	50k<500k	1.14	1.24	1.63***	1.13	1.30*	1.75***
	500k+	0.92	1.26	1.55**	0.92	1.32	1.63***
Employment Status No em Employ	No employed	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
	Employed	0.71***	0.62***	0.50***	0.62***	0.40***	0.33***
	<high school<="" td=""><td>1.00</td><td>1.00</td><td>1.00</td><td>1.00</td><td>1.00</td><td>1.00</td></high>	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Education Status > Ir	>High School	0.89	2.49*	1.07	0.82	1.68	0.73
	In Education	1.04	1.26***	1.39***	1.00	1.16	1.25**
Monthly Public Transport	No	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Pass	Yes	1.24*	1.23*	1.82***	1.15	0.98	1.22*
Shopping Destination Within	No	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
2km	Yes	1.28*	1.26	1.62***	1.30*	1.36*	1.81***
Leisure Destination Within	No	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
2km	Yes	0.91	1.05	1.50***	0.90	1.09	1.52***
Rained 5 Out of 7 Reporting	No	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Days	Yes	0.89	1.08	0.92	0.89	1.09	0.92
Active Travel from	No				1.00	1.00	1.00
Commuting in Year 1	Yes				1.37***	3.08***	4.38***
Active Travel from Round	No				1.00	1.00	1.00
Trips (e.g., strolls) in year 1	Yes				1.43***	5.45***	11.81***
Walked, Cycled, and Rode	No				1.00	1.00	1.00
Public Transport in Year 1	Yes				1.46***	3.63***	6.22***
McFadden R-Squared		0.05	0.07	0.17	0.06	0.19	0.36
Sample Size		3,523	3,482	5,819	3,523	3,482	5,819
Levels of significance: *** <1%	o, ** <5%, *<10%			•		•	·